Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Hapipah Ali,^a* Nur Ashikin Khamis^a and Bohari M. Yamin^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, and ^bSchool of Chemical Sciences and Food Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: hapipahali@yahoo.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 273 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.067 wR factor = 0.170 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

1-(4-Fluoro-2-hydroxyphenyl)ethanone 4-nitrobenzoylhydrazone

In the title compound, $C_{15}H_{12}FN_3O_4$, the planes of the nitrophenyl and 4-fluoro-2-hydroxyphenyl fragments form dihedral angles of 25.7 (1) and 10.5 (2)°, respectively, with the C-N-N-C plane of the central hydrazone linkage. In the crystal structure, molecules are linked into layers by intermolecular $C-H\cdots F$ and $C-H\cdots O$ interactions. Adjacent layers are interlinked by $N-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

Received 6 September 2004 Accepted 22 September 2004 Online 30 September 2004

Comment

Schiff bases have received considerable attention because of their structural and pharmacological properties, such as anticancer and antimicrobial activities (Bekhit *et al.*, 2004). The condensation reaction of 4-nitrobenzhydrazide with 4-fluoro-2-hydroxyacetophenone led to the formation of the title compound, (I).



The molecule of (I) is in the keto tautomeric form and is distorted from planarity (Fig. 1). The nitrophenyl fragment is planar with a maximum deviation of 0.035 (2) Å for atom O4; the 4-fluoro-2-hydroxyphenyl fragment is planar within 0.016 (2) Å. The dihedral angle between the planes of the nitrophenyl and 4-fluoro-2-hydroxyphenyl fragments is $18.1 (1)^{\circ}$; these fragments form dihedral angles of 25.7 (1) and



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. The dashed line indicates a hydrogen bond.

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography



Figure 2

The packing of the molecules of (I), viewed along the c axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

10.5 (2)°, respectively, with the plane of the central C7-N1-N2-C9 linkage. The bond lengths observed in the structure (Table 1) show normal values (Allen et al., 1987).

An intramolecular O1-H1···N1 hydrogen bond is observed in the molecule. In the crystal structure, the molecules are linked into layers by two intermolecular C12- $H12A\cdots F1^{iv}$ and $C1-H1B\cdots O3^{ii}$ interactions (symmetry codes are given in Table 2). Adjacent layers are interlinked by $N2-H2A\cdots O2^{i}$ and $C8-H8B\cdots O4^{iii}$ hydrogen bonds, forming a network structure (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

Experimental

An ethanol solution (80 ml) of 4-nitrobenzhydrazide (0.30 g, 1.7 mmol) was refluxed with 4-fluoro-2-hydroxyacetophenone (0.26 g, 1.7 mmol) for 2 h. The solid obtained was recrystallized from pyridine to give brown crystals.

Crystal data

$C_{15}H_{12}FN_3O_4$ $M_r = 317.28$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	$D_x = 1.446 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation Cell parameters from 936
$a = 7.4152 (19) \text{\AA}$	reflections
b = 24.532 (6) Å	$\theta = 1.7 - 26.5^{\circ}$
c = 8.739(2) Å	$\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 113.576 \ (4)^{\circ}$	T = 273 (2) K
V = 1457.0 (6) Å ³	Block, brown
Z = 4	$0.49 \times 0.45 \times 0.26 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-	3010 independent reflections
detector diffractometer	2472 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.024$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.5^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.946, T_{\max} = 0.971$	$k = -30 \rightarrow 30$
15277 measured reflections	$l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0658P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.067$	+ 0.5534P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.170$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.15	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
3010 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
212 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

F1-C3	1.355 (3)	O4-N3	1.201 (4)
O1-C5	1.345 (3)	N1-C7	1.287 (3)
O2-C9	1.220 (3)	N1-N2	1.381 (2)
O3-N3	1.219 (4)	N2-C9	1.347 (3)
C7-N1-N2-C9	-165.1 (2)	N2-C9-C10-C15	-34.9(3)
C5-C6-C7-N1	-0.3(3)	O2-C9-C10-C11	-34.4(3)
N1-N2-C9-O2	7.4 (3)	O3-N3-C13-C12	-2.2(4)
N1-N2-C9-C10	-173.80 (18)	O4-N3-C13-C14	-1.1(4)

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, '	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O1-H1···N1	0.96 (3)	1.66 (3)	2.517 (2)	147 (3)
$N2-H2A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.86	2.15	2.889 (3)	144
$C1 - H1B \cdots O3^{ii}$	0.93	2.48	3.353 (4)	157
$C8-H8B\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.96	2.57	3.328 (5)	136
$C12-H12A\cdots F1^{iv}$	0.93	2.44	3.366 (3)	173

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iii) $1 + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iv) $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - z.$

After their location in a difference map, all H atoms except H1 were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on the parent C atoms, with C-H = 0.93–0.96 Å and N-H = 0.86 Å, and $U_{iso}(H) =$ 1.2 or $1.5U_{eq}(C,N)$. Atom H1 was refined isotropically.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL, PARST (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON (Spek, 2003).

The authors thank the Malaysian Government, University of Malaya and Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for research grants (IRPA Nos. 09-02-03-1025 and 09-02-02-993, respectively).

References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1-19.

- Bekhit, A. A., El-Sayed, O. A., Al-Allaf, T. A. K., Aboul-Enein, H. Y., Kunhi, M., Pulikat, S. M., Al-Hussain, K., Al-Khodairy, F. & Arif, J. (2004). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 39, 499-505.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996). SMART and SAINT. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.